

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,226	12/20/2006	David Knaack	C00000002.02	5785
	7590 12/05/201 (Spinal/Osteotech)	EXAMINER		
710 Medtronic	Parkway	AZPURU, CARLOS A		
	tents MS: LC340 4N 55432-5604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
mineapons, n	11 100 102 000 1		1617	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Medtronic_spinal_docketing@cardinal-ip.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
• •				
10/589,226	KNAACK ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
CARLOS AZPURU	1617			
CARLOS AZPURO	101/			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

eamed	patent	term	adjustment.	566 37	CFR	1.704(D).

Status	
2a) 🔯 3) 🔲 4) 🔲	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2011.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	on of Claims
6)	Claim(s) 0.3.1.5-8.10-21 and 23-29 is/are pending in the application. Saj Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	on Papers
11) 🔲 T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b Some ° c None of:
Attachment	(s)
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date
S Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re	

Application/Control Number: 10/589,226 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 11/09/2011.

It is noted that applicant has amended to include limitations from original claim 9

which was not included in the prior art rejection of the previous action. However the

claim was broadened with the inclusion of "polysaccharides and acids". As such, the

claims are still not allowable over the previously cited art.

The following rejection is maintained in this action:

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 11/09/2011.

It is noted that claim 1 was amended to include the limitations of claim 9.

However, the claim was broadened to include "polysaccharides and acids". As such, the

prior art rejection of the previous action is maintained.

The following rejection is maintained in this action:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-.3, 5-8, 10-21 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03030956 (Osteotech, Inc.).

Osteotech, Inc claims a an implantable bone growth implant comprising a matrix, a growth factor and a stabilizing agent (claim 1). The matrix can be demineralized bone (DMB)(claim 9). Agents used to protect the matrix are listed at [07], and include enzyme inhibitors generally, and protease inhibitors in specific. The composition may contain stabilizing agents at [39]. The particles or fibers of the DMB are disclosed at [22]. Water and hyaluronic acid may be added as disclosed at [47]. Specific protease inhibitors are found at [24]. Osteoinductive agents are listed at [52]. Polysaccharides are listed as possible carriers at [42]. Those of ordinary skill would have found it well within their skill to claim the instant composition with a reasonable expectation of similar osteoinductivity at one year given that the same composition is disclosed by Osteotech, Inc. As such, the instant composition would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention given the disclosure of Osteotech, Inc.

Applicant did not argue the merits of the rejection.

The following is a new rejection of the claims based on the new amendments of 11/09/2011:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-.3, 5-8, 10-21 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are unclear in that they now refer to acids as non-glycerol stabilizing means. This contradicts the description at page 6 which describes acids used to change the pH of the composition. Clarification is requested.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,226

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-.3, 5-8, 10-21 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite in the reference to "acids" as a non-glycerol stabilizing means in that there are no metes and bounds set out for this term. The only acids referred to in the specification are used to change the pH of the DMB composition (see page 6 for definition of acidic). Clarification is requested.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,226

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CARLOS AZPURU whose telephone number is (571)272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fereydoun G. Sajjadi can be reached on (571) 272-3311. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617 Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

caz